Subject: Variance Case Number WPVAR17-0001
Applicants: Charles Meyer and Suzanne McSherry
Agenda Item Number: 9B
Project Summary: Variance to reduce the front yard setback from 20 feet to 10 feet-8 inches to allow for the construction of a 2 car garage and associated bedroom/hallway connection
Recommendation: Approval with Conditions
Prepared by: Chad Giesinger, AICP, Senior Planner
Washoe County Community Services Department
Planning and Development Division
Phone: 775.328.3626
E-Mail: cgiesinger@washoecounty.us

Description
Variance Case Number WPVAR17-0001 (Meyer-McSherry) – For possible action, hearing, and discussion to approve a variance to reduce the front yard setback from 20 feet to 10 feet 8 inches to allow for the construction of a 2 car garage and associated bedroom/hallway connection.

- Applicant: Charles Meyer and Suzanne McSherry
- Property Owner: Meyer-McSherry Family Trust
- Location: 380 Tuscarora Road, Crystal Bay, 89402
- Assessor’s Parcel Number: 123-142-15
- Parcel Size: .16 acres
- Master Plan Category: Suburban (S)
- Regulatory Zone: High Density Suburban (HDS)
- Area Plan: Tahoe
- Citizen Advisory Board: Incline Village/Crystal Bay
- Development Code: Authorized in Article 804 (Variances)
- Commission District: 1 – Commissioner Berkbigler
- Section/Township/Range: Section 19, T16N, R18E, MDM, Washoe County, NV
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**Variance Definition**

The purpose of a Variance is to provide a means of altering the requirements in specific instances where the strict application of those requirements would deprive a property of privileges enjoyed by other properties with the identical Regulatory Zone because of special features or constraints unique to the property involved; and to provide for a procedure whereby such alterations might be permitted by further restricting or conditioning the project so as to mitigate or eliminate possible adverse impacts.

NRS 278.300 (1) (c) limits the power of the Board of Adjustment to grant variances only under the following circumstances:

Where by reason of exceptional narrowness, shallowness, or shape of a specific piece of property at the time of the enactment of the regulation, or by reason of exceptional topographic conditions or other extraordinary and exceptional situation or condition of the piece of property, the strict application of any regulation enacted under NRS 278.010 to 278.630, inclusive, would result in peculiar and exceptional practical difficulties to, or exceptional and undue hardships upon, the owner of the property, the Board of Adjustment has the power to authorize a variance from that strict application so as to relieve the difficulties or hardship, if the relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, without substantial impairment of affected natural resources and without substantially impairing the intent and purpose of any ordinance or resolution.

The statute is jurisdictional in that if the circumstances are not as described above, the Board does not have the power to grant a variance from the strict application of a regulation. Along that line, under Washoe County Code Section 110.804.25, the Board must make four findings which are discussed below.

If the Board of Adjustment grants an approval of the Variance, that approval may be subject to Conditions of Approval. Conditions of Approval are requirements that need to be completed during different stages of the proposed project. Those stages are typically:

- Prior to permit issuance (i.e., a grading permit, a building permit, etc.).
- Prior to obtaining a final inspection and/or a certificate of occupancy on a structure.
- Prior to the issuance of a business license or other permits/licenses.
- Some Conditions of Approval are referred to as “Operational Conditions.” These conditions must be continually complied with for the life of the business or project.

The Conditions of Approval for Variance Case Number WPVAR17-0001 are attached to this staff report and, if granted approval, will be included with the Action Order.

As noted, the subject property is zoned High Density Suburban (HDS). Generally, applicable front yard setback requirements for HDS properties are established in WCC Table 110.406.05.1 (Part Three); 20 feet is the minimum. WCC Section 110.804.25, however, allows the Board of Adjustment to reduce the required front yard setback by granting a variance if the findings to support a variance can be made. The applicant is therefore seeking approval from the Board of Adjustment of the proposed variance request.
NOTE: In the above image, the red line is the required building setbacks, the yellow line outlines the existing residence, the green line is the property line, and the brown line is the edge of pavement.
Proposed Building Elevations

Street Elevation

Back Elevation

North Garage Elevation

South Garage Elevation
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Project Evaluation

The subject property has a regulatory zone of High Density Suburban (HDS), which requires a 20 foot front yard setback and a 5 foot side yard setback. The applicant initially requested a variance to reduce the front yard setback from 20 feet to 7.1 feet and the side yard setback from 5 feet to 3.5 feet to accommodate the construction of a one car garage and an associated hallway/bridge connection to the existing residence (see WPVAR16-0001 reviewed at the February 2, 2017 BOA meeting). But after objections from the side yard neighbor regarding snow storage and visual impacts, and a determination that an existing tree the proposed design layout was trying to preserve did not need preservation and should probably be cut down, the variance was continued at the request of the applicant and subsequently replaced with the current variance request.

The current variance request is to reduce the front yard setback from 20 feet to 10 feet-8 inches, which is approximately 3 feet-6 inches farther away from the property line than the previous request. The applicant has decided to remove the aforementioned tree and move the proposed garage toward the house and away from the side yard setback, thereby eliminating the need for a side yard variance. To take full advantage of the extra lot/buildable area created by removal of the tree, the applicant has also decided to construct a 2 car garage instead of the previously proposed one car garage (an associated hallway connection is still proposed).

The existing residence currently does not have a garage and parking options are very limited due to the narrowness of Tuscarora Road and the steep topography of the site. The property owner currently parallel parks just off the pavement on a section of pavers that has been installed in a portion of the right of way and within the northwest corner portion of the lot (see photos below).

The existing 552 square foot residence (with a 432 square foot finished basement) constructed in 1966 is already well within the front yard setback (approximately 2 feet from the property line at its closest point), as are most of the houses along the down sloping side of Tuscarora Drive. Both of the adjacent properties have structures within the front yard setback. The 2 parcels across the street and directly upslope from the subject parcel are undeveloped and owned by the State of Nevada.

Properties in this area between Tuscarora Road and Wassou Road contain very steep slopes, which has resulted in the majority of dwellings using a stepped down building design with garages on the top level at street elevation. The applicant estimates that the site slopes downward at approximately 43%, creating a very challenging construction environment. Meeting the required setbacks on such a severe slope could lead to a construction outcome...
with more environmental disturbance, visual impact (especially looking up from Wassou Road), a longer driveway, and extensive drainage alterations.

The proposed location of the garage will result in a finished driveway approximately 15 feet-1 inches in length from the centerline of the garage to the edge of pavement, which should provide additional off street parking with adequate space in front of the garage (for most vehicles) to avoid encroachment into the paved right of way. The new location of the proposed garage should reduce visual impacts to neighboring residences and provide ample room for snow shedding and storage.

As of the writing of this staff report, the proposed project had not been presented to the Incline Village/Crystal Bay Citizen Advisory Board. However, the project is scheduled to be reviewed by the CAB at its March 27, 2017 meeting and the CAB was provided a copy of the application for their comment as part of the Agency Review mailings. Any comments received at the March 27th CAB meeting will be provided to the BOA at their meeting. Staff has not received any comments from CAB members to date and did not receive any comments on the previous version of the variance request.

Reviewing Agencies

The following agencies received a copy of the project application for review and evaluation:

- Washoe County Community Services Department
  - Planning and Development Division
  - Engineering and Capital Projects Division
    - Land Development
    - Roads
- North Lake Tahoe Fire Protection District
- Incline Village General Improvement District
- Regional Transportation Commission

Two out of the six above listed agencies/departments provided comments and/or recommended conditions of approval in response to their evaluation of the project application. A summary of each agency’s comments and/or recommended conditions of approval and their contact information is provided. The Conditions of Approval document is attached to this staff report and will be included with the Action Order if the application is approved by the Board.

- **Washoe County Planning and Development Division** reviewed the application and recommends approval subject to standard conditions since staff can make the required findings for approval of a variance.
  
  **Contact:** Chad Giesinger, AICP, Senior Planner, 775.328.3626, cgiesinger@washoecounty.us

- **Washoe County Planning and Development Division, Land Development** responded by recommending conditions requiring a hold-harmless agreement to the satisfaction of the District Attorney and the Engineering and Capital Projects Division, and the provision of automatic garage door openers.
  
  **Contact:** Leo Vesely, Engineer, 775.328.2313, lvesely@washoecounty.us

Staff Comment on Required Findings

Washoe County Code Section 110.804.25 requires that all of the following findings be made to the satisfaction of the Washoe County Board of Adjustment before granting approval of the
variance request. Staff has completed an analysis of the application and has determined that 
the proposal is in compliance with the required findings as follows:

1. **Special Circumstances.** Because of the special circumstances applicable to the 
property, including exceptional narrowness, shallowness or shape of the specific piece 
of property; exceptional topographic conditions; extraordinary and exceptional situation or 
condition of the property and/or location of surroundings; the strict application of the 
regulation results in exceptional and undue hardships upon the owner of the property.

   **Staff Comment:** Special circumstances exist on the property. The property contains 
exceptional topographic conditions sloping downwards at approximately 43%. Undue 
hardship and environmental impact would therefore result from strict application of the 
setback regulations.

2. **No Detriment.** The relief will not create a substantial detriment to the public good, 
substantially impair affected natural resources or impair the intent and purpose of the 
Development Code or applicable policies under which the variance is granted.

   **Staff Comment:** No detriment to the public good will result from granting of the 
variance. In fact, public safety would be improved by providing enclosed off-street 
parking where none currently exists, resulting in fewer cars potentially parked in the 
travel right of way.

3. **No Special Privileges.** The granting of the variance will not constitute a grant of 
special privileges inconsistent with the limitations upon other properties in the vicinity 
and the identical regulatory zone in which the property is situated.

   **Staff Comment:** Granting of the variance will not constitute a grant of special 
privileges not enjoyed by similarly situated properties. Both of the adjacent properties 
have the identical regulatory zone, similarly steep slopes, and structures within the 
front yard setback.

4. **Use Authorized.** The variance will not authorize a use or activity which is not 
otherwise expressly authorized by the regulation governing the parcel of property.

   **Staff Comment:** The proposed construction of a garage with a bedroom below, which 
is the purpose of the variance, is an allowed accessory use on the property.

5. **Effect on a Military Installation.** The variance will not have a detrimental effect on the 
location, purpose and mission of the military installation.

   **Staff Comment:** There are no military installations within the required noticing 
distance; therefore, this finding is not required.

**Recommendation**

Those agencies which reviewed the application recommended conditions in support of approval 
of the project. Therefore, after a thorough analysis and review, Variance Case Number 
WPVAR17-0001 is being recommended for approval with conditions. Staff offers the following 
motion for the Board’s consideration.

**Motion**

I move that, after giving reasoned consideration to the information contained in the staff report 
and information received during the public hearing, the Washoe County Board of Adjustment 
approve Variance Case Number WPVAR17-0001 for Meyer-McSherry, with the Conditions of 
Approval included as Exhibit A for this matter, having made all four required findings in 
accordance with Washoe County Development Code Section 110.804.25:
1. **Special Circumstances.** Because of the special circumstances applicable to the property, including exceptional narrowness, shallowness or shape of the specific piece of property; exceptional topographic conditions; extraordinary and exceptional situation or condition of the property and/or location of surroundings; the strict application of the regulation results in exceptional and undue hardships upon the owner of the property;

2. **No Detriment.** The relief will not create a substantial detriment to the public good, substantially impair affected natural resources or impair the intent and purpose of the Development Code or applicable policies under which the variance is granted;

3. **No Special Privileges.** The granting of the variance will not constitute a grant of special privileges inconsistent with the limitations upon other properties in the vicinity and the identical regulatory zone in which the property is situated;

4. **Use Authorized.** The variance will not authorize a use or activity which is not otherwise expressly authorized by the regulation governing the parcel of property;

**Appeal Process**

Board of Adjustment action will be effective 10 calendar days after the written decision is filed with the Secretary to the Board of Adjustment and mailed to the original applicant, unless the action is appealed to the Washoe County Board of County Commissioners, in which case the outcome of the appeal shall be determined by the Washoe County Board of County Commissioners. Any appeal must be filed in writing with the Planning and Development Division within 10 calendar days after the written decision is filed with the Secretary to the Board of Adjustment and mailed to the applicant.

Property Owner: Meyer-McSherry Family Trust  
Charles Meyer and Suzanne McSherry  
Post Office Box 518  
Crystal Bay, NV 89402

Representatives: Smith Design Group  
Peggy L. Colombo  
120 Country Club Drive, No. 17  
Incline Village, NV 89451
Conditions of Approval
Variance Case Number WPVAR17-0001

The project approved under Variance Case Number WPVAR17-0001 shall be carried out in accordance with the Conditions of Approval granted by the Board of Adjustment on April 6, 2017. Conditions of Approval are requirements placed on a permit or development by each reviewing agency. These Conditions of Approval may require submittal of documents, applications, fees, inspections, amendments to plans, and more. These conditions do not relieve the applicant of the obligation to obtain any other approvals and licenses from relevant authorities required under any other act or to abide by all other generally applicable Codes, and neither these conditions nor the approval by the County of this project/use override or negate any other applicable restrictions on uses or development on the property.

**Unless otherwise specified,** all conditions related to the approval of this Variance shall be met or financial assurance must be provided to satisfy the conditions of approval prior to issuance of a grading or building permit. The agency responsible for determining compliance with a specific condition shall determine whether the condition must be fully completed or whether the applicant shall be offered the option of providing financial assurance. All agreements, easements, or other documentation required by these conditions shall have a copy filed with the County Engineer and the Planning and Development Division.

Compliance with the conditions of approval related to this Variance is the responsibility of the applicant, his/her successor in interest, and all owners, assignees, and occupants of the property and their successors in interest. Failure to comply with any of the conditions imposed in the approval of the Variance may result in the institution of revocation procedures.

Washoe County reserves the right to review and revise the conditions of approval related to this Variance should it be determined that a subsequent license or permit issued by Washoe County violates the intent of this approval.

For the purpose of conditions imposed by Washoe County, “may” is permissive and “shall” or “must” is mandatory.

Conditions of Approval are usually complied with at different stages of the proposed project. Those stages are typically:

- Prior to permit issuance (i.e., grading permits, building permits, etc.).
- Prior to obtaining a final inspection and/or a certificate of occupancy.
- Prior to the issuance of a business license or other permits/licenses.
- Some “Conditions of Approval” are referred to as “Operational Conditions.” These conditions must be continually complied with for the life of the project or business.

**FOLLOWING ARE CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL REQUIRED BY THE REVIEWING AGENCIES. EACH CONDITION MUST BE MET TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE ISSUING AGENCY.**
Washoe County Planning and Development Division

1. The following conditions are requirements of the Planning and Development Division, which shall be responsible for determining compliance with these conditions.

   Contact Name – Chad Giesinger, Senior Planner 775.328.3626, cgiesinger@washoecounty.us

   a. The applicant shall demonstrate substantial conformance to the plans approved as part of this variance permit. Modification to the site plan may require amendment to and reprocessing of the variance.

   b. The applicant shall submit complete construction plans and building permits shall be issued within two years from the date of approval by Washoe County. The applicant shall complete construction within the time specified by the building permits. Compliance with this condition shall be determined by Planning and Development.

   c. A copy of the Final Order stating conditional approval of this variance shall be attached to all applications for administrative permits, including building permits, issued by Washoe County.

   d. Prior to submission of a building permit for the approved garage, the front property line shall be surveyed and marked by a surveyor licensed in Nevada. Approval of the variance shall not convey any rights or approval to construct any portion of a structure across the property line, or in the county right-of-way. Neither shall any roof eaves, light fixtures or other structural or architectural elements project over the front property line.

   e. A note shall be placed on all construction drawings and grading plans stating:

      NOTE

      Should any prehistoric or historic remains/artifacts be discovered during site development, work shall temporarily be halted at the specific site and the State Historic Preservation Office of the Department of Museums, Library and Arts shall be notified to record and photograph the site. The period of temporary delay shall be limited to a maximum of two (2) working days from the date of notification.

   f. The use of straw bales shall be prohibited during construction of the project. A filter-fabric fence or other acceptable alternative shall be utilized for erosion control.

Washoe County Engineering and Capital Projects Division

2. The following conditions are requirements of the Engineering Division, which shall be responsible for determining compliance with these conditions.

   Contact Name – Leo Vesely, Engineer, 775.328.2313, lvesely@washoecounty.us

   a. Prior to issuance of a building permit, the applicant shall execute a Hold Harmless Agreement with the District Attorney’s Office for the purposes of road maintenance and snow removal. The applicant shall submit a copy of the recorded document with the building permit application.

   b. The applicant shall install an automatic garage door opener prior the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy or building permit final sign-off.

*** End of Conditions ***
DATE: March 07, 2017
TO: Chad Giesinger, Planning and Development Division
FROM: Leo R. Vesely, P.E., Engineering and Capitol Projects Division
SUBJECT: WPVAR17-0001
          APN 123-142-15
          MEYER-MCSHERRY SETBACK VARIANCE

I have reviewed the referenced variance case and recommend the following conditions:

1. Provide a hold-harmless agreement to the satisfaction of the District Attorney and the Engineering Division.

2. The applicant shall provide and install automatic garage door openers.

LRV/Irv
Date: 2-23-17

Attention: cgiesinger

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RE:</th>
<th>Variances WPVAR17-0001</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APN:</td>
<td>123-142-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Service Address:</td>
<td>380 Tuscarora</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Incline Village NV 89451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner:</td>
<td>Meyer Charles and Suzanne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone:</td>
<td>Fax:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mailing Address: N/A

**Variance Case Number WPVAR17-0001 (Meyer-McSherry)** – Hearing, discussion, and possible action to approve a variance to reduce the front yard setback from 20 feet to 10 feet 8 inches to allow for the construction of a 2 car garage and associated bedroom/hallway connection.

- □ Applicant: Charles Meyer and Suzanne McSherry
- □ Property Owner: Meyer-McSherry Family Trust
- □ Location: 380 Tuscarora Road, Crystal Bay
- □ Assessor’s Parcel Number: 123-142-15
- □ Parcel Size: .16 acres
- □ Master Plan Category: Suburban (S)
- □ Regulatory Zone: High Density Suburban (HDS)
- □ Area Plan: Tahoe
- □ Citizen Advisory Board: Incline Village/Crystal Bay
- □ Development Code: Authorized in Article 804 (Variances)
- □ Commission District: 1 – Commissioner Berkbiger
- □ Section/Township/Range: Section 19, T16N, R18E, MDM, Washoe County, NV
- □ Staff: Chad Giesinger, Senior Planner
  Washoe County Community Services Department
  Planning and Development Division

- □ Phone: 775-326-3626
- □ E-mail: cgiesinger@washoecounty.us

Comments: No Impact to the Incline Village General Improvement District.

Completed by: Tim Buxton, Chief Inspector
From: Emerson, Kathy
Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2016 12:01 PM
To: Mark Regan
Cc: Pelham, Roger; Giesinger, Chad; Krause, Eva
Subject: RE: December Agency Review Memo II - WC Planning & Development

Thank you, Mark!

From: Mark Regan [mailto:mregan@nltpd.net]
Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2016 11:52 AM
To: Emerson, Kathy
Subject: RE: December Agency Review Memo II - WC Planning & Development

Morning,

NLTFPD is good with all 3 Items

From: Emerson, Kathy [mailto:KEmerson@washoe county.us]
Sent: Tuesday, December 20, 2016 11:40 AM
To: Mark Regan
Subject: December Agency Review Memo II - WC Planning & Development

Good Morning,

Please find the attached Agency Review Memo II with cases received in December by Washoe County Community Services Department, Planning & Development.

You’ve been asked to review the below-listed application as indicated. The item descriptions and links to the applications are provided in the memo. Please remember to send any agency review responses/comments directly to the Planner for the case, rather than replying to me.

NLTFPD: Items 1, 2, 4

Thank you!

Kathy Emerson
Administrative Secretary Supervisor | Community Services Department
kemerson@washoe county.us | 775-328-3615 | 1001 E. 9th St., Reno, NV 89512
www.washoe county.us/csd
WPVAR17-0001 Public Notice Map
Parcels noticed within 500 feet of subject property

Source: Planning and Development   Date: March, 2017
VARIANCE APPLICATION

Meyer-McSherry Garage

380 Tuscarora Road
Crystal Bay, Nevada  89402
APN 123-142-15

Job No.:  1613.01

13 February 2017
Community Services Department
Planning and Development
VARIANCE APPLICATION

Community Services Department
Planning and Development
1001 E. Ninth St., Bldg. A
Reno, NV 89520

Telephone: 775.328.3600
## Washoe County Development Application

Your entire application is a public record. If you have a concern about releasing personal information, please contact Planning and Development staff at 775.328.3600.

### Project Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name:</th>
<th>Meyer-McSherry Garage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Description:</td>
<td>Provide a 2 car garage and access the existing residence located partially in the front setback</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Address:</td>
<td>380 Tuscarora Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Area (acres or square feet):</td>
<td>7,168 SF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Location (with point of reference to major cross streets AND area locator):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessor’s Parcel No.(s):</td>
<td>123-142-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parcel Acreage:</td>
<td>0.16 acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section(s)/Township/Range:</td>
<td>T16/R18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Applicant Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property Owner:</th>
<th>Meyer-McSherry Family Trust</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Name:</td>
<td>Charles Meyer and Suzanne McSherry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
<td>Post Office Box 518, Crystal Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip:</td>
<td>89402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone:</td>
<td>530-263-2244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Chassmeyer@yahoo.com">Chassmeyer@yahoo.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Person:</td>
<td>Charles Meyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional Consultant:</td>
<td>Smith Design Group - Dale E Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name:</td>
<td>Dale E Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address:</td>
<td>120 Country Club Drive, No.17, Incline Village</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zip:</td>
<td>89451</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phone:</td>
<td>775 831-7158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email:</td>
<td><a href="mailto:Peggy@smithdesigngroup.com">Peggy@smithdesigngroup.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact Person:</td>
<td>Dale E Smith</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### For Office Use Only

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Received:</th>
<th>Initial:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>County Commission District:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAB(s):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Area:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master Plan Designation(s):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulatory Zoning(s):</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Property Owner Affidavit

Applicant Name: **Meyer-McSherry Family Trust**

The receipt of this application at the time of submittal does not guarantee the application complies with all requirements of the Washoe County Development Code, the Washoe County Master Plan or the applicable area plan, the applicable regulatory zoning, or that the application is deemed complete and will be processed.

STATE OF NEVADA
COUNTY OF WASHOE

(please print name)

being duly sworn, depose and say that I am the owner of the property or properties involved in this application as listed below and that the foregoing statements and answers herein contained and the information herewith submitted are in all respects complete, true, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that no assurance or guarantee can be given by members of Planning and Development.

(A separate Affidavit must be provided by each property owner named in the title report.)

Assessor Parcel Number(s): 123-142-15

Printed Name: **Charles S. Meyer**

Signed

Address: 380 Tuscara Rd

Crystal Bay, NV 89402

(Notary Stamp)

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 30 day of November, 2016.

Notary Public in and for said county and state

My commission expires: May 10, 2019

*Owner refers to the following: (Please mark appropriate box.)

- [ ] Owner
- [ ] Corporate Officer/Partner (Provide copy of record document indicating authority to sign.)
- [ ] Power of Attorney (Provide copy of Power of Attorney.)
- [ ] Owner Agent (Provide notarized letter from property owner giving legal authority to agent.)
- [ ] Property Agent (Provide copy of record document indicating authority to sign.)
- [ ] Letter from Government Agency with Stewardship
Property Owner Affidavit

Applicant Name: Meyer-McSherry Family Trust

The receipt of this application at the time of submittal does not guarantee the application complies with all requirements of the Washoe County Development Code, the Washoe County Master Plan or the applicable area plan, the applicable regulatory zoning, or that the application is deemed complete and will be processed.

STATE OF NEVADA
COUNTY OF WASHOE

I, Suzanne M. McSherry (please print name)

being duly sworn, depose and say that I am the owner* of the property or properties involved in this application as listed below and that the foregoing statements and answers herein contained and the information herewith submitted are in all respects complete, true, and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that no assurance or guarantee can be given by members of Planning and Development.

(A separate Affidavit must be provided by each property owner named in the title report.)

Assessor Parcel Number(s): 123-142-15

Printed Name: Suzanne M. McSherry
Signed: [Signature]
Address: 380 Tuscarora Rd
Crystal Bay, NV 89402

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 30 day of November, 2016.

Notary Public in and for said county and state
My commission expires: May 10, 2019

*Owner refers to the following: (Please mark appropriate box.)

☑ Owner
☐ Corporate Officer/Partner (Provide copy of record document indicating authority to sign.)
☐ Power of Attorney (Provide copy of Power of Attorney.)
☐ Owner Agent (Provide notarized letter from property owner giving legal authority to agent.)
☐ Property Agent (Provide copy of record document indicating authority to sign.)
☐ Letter from Government Agency with Stewardship

October 2016

WPVAR17-0001
EXHIBIT D
Variance Application
Supplemental Information

(All required information may be separately attached)

Chapter 110 of the Washoe County Code is commonly known as the Development Code. Specific references to variances may be found in Article 804, Variances.

1. What provisions of the Development Code (e.g. front yard setback, height, etc.) must be waived or varied to permit your request?

Variance for Front setback per Article 406, Table 110.406.05.1, Part Three: Yard and Setback Dimensions

You must answer the following questions in detail. Failure to provide complete and accurate information will result in denial of the application.

2. What are the topographic conditions, extraordinary or exceptional circumstances, shape of the property or location of surroundings that are unique to your property and, therefore, prevent you from complying with the Development Code requirements?

1. The existing residence does not have a garage. The site is a severely downsloping lot (43%). Complying with the front setback of the requirements of the Development Code given the exceptional slope creates a hardship in development costs and detrimental visual quality when viewed from downslope. The unusually narrow Right-of-Way for Tuscarora Road (25") leaves the 20' wide traveled way very close to the property line and limits parking for this residence to a narrow (10' wide) partially off-site parking pad.

2. The front door is on the south end of the residence and the only parking is on the north side. This requires walking a minimum of 40' from the parking pad to the front door of the residence, directly adjacent to and in close proximity to the traveled way.

3. The Site Assessment for this parcel was completed by the Tahoe Regional Planning Agency and this site is a LCD 1a. Locating the Garage partially in the 20' Front Setback will shorten the driveway, minimizing the amount of new coverage created in this sensitive watershed. It will also reduce the overall height of the structure, bringing it into conformance with the TRPA height requirements and reducing the size, cost, and visual impact of the structure. The resulting 2 car driveway is 15' at the centerline of the garage door.
3. What steps will be taken to prevent substantial negative impacts (e.g. blocking views, reducing privacy, decreasing pedestrian or traffic safety, etc.) to other properties or uses in the area?

| 1. Providing enclosed off-street parking reduces clutter and enhances the scenic environment |
| 2. Traffic and pedestrian safety is improved by connecting the garage and the residence allowing access to the residence without traversing the area adjacent to the traveled way. |
| 3. The proposed location of the garage will have no effect on the views from the neighboring properties. The existing residence and the adjacent residences on either side currently encroach on the front yard setback. The two parcels directly upslope from this parcel are undeveloped land owned by the State of Nevada. |
| 4. There will be no detrimental impact on the neighbors privacy given the location of adjacent homes and the absence of view windows on wall(s) adjacent to the garage. |
| 5. Sliding snow from the new garage roof will not damage the adjacent residence located a minimum of 17' away. |

4. How will this variance enhance the scenic or environmental character of the neighborhood (e.g. eliminate encroachment onto slopes or wetlands, provide enclosed parking, eliminate clutter in view of neighbors, etc.)?

| 1. Building a garage will enhance the scenic environment by providing enclosed off-street parking and reducing clutter |
| 2. Locating the garage in the front setback will shorten the driveway thus minimizing the amount of new land coverage created minimizing the impact of the structure on the environmentally sensitive parcel. It will significantly reduce the size of the structure lessening its visual impact on this neighborhood. |
| 3. The proposed location of the garage and bridge protects the existing old growth tree. |
5. What enjoyment or use of your property would you be denied that is common to other properties in your neighborhood?

1. Safe ingress and egress from parking to the existing residence.
2. Safe enclosed parking
3. Preservation of unobstructed views downslope for this residence as well as adjacent properties.

6. Are there any restrictive covenants, recorded conditions or deed restrictions (CC&Rs) that apply to the area subject to the variance request?

☐ Yes ☐ No If yes, please attach a copy.

7. What is your type of water service provided?

Community Water - Incline Village General Improvement District

8. What is your type of sewer service provided?

Community Sanitary Sewer - Incline Village General Improvement District
**Street Elevation**

**Scale:** 1/8" = 1'-0"

- **Highest Point of Proposed Roof:** 6.612' - 9" (6612.77)
- **Upper Level Finish Floor:** 6.599' - 7" (6599.60)
- **Top of Garage Slab:** 6.599' - 1" (6599.10)
- **Upper Level Finish Floor:** 6.613' - 1" (6613.11)
- **Highest Point of Existing Roof:** 6.613' - 1" (6613.11)
- **Top of Garage Slab:** 6.599' - 1" (6599.10)
- **Bedroom Subfloor:** 6.588' - 1" (6588.06)
- **Lowest Natural Ground Elevation:** 6.579' - 7" (6579.58)
- **Lowest Natural Ground Elevation:** 6.579' - 7" (6579.58)

**Back Elevation**

**Scale:** 1/8" = 1'-0"

- **Highest Point of Proposed Roof:** 6.612' - 9" (6612.77)
- **Upper Level Finish Floor:** 6.599' - 7" (6599.60)
- **Top of Garage Slab:** 6.599' - 1" (6599.10)
- **Upper Level Finish Floor:** 6.613' - 1" (6613.11)
- **Highest Point of Existing Roof:** 6.613' - 1" (6613.11)
- **Top of Garage Slab:** 6.599' - 1" (6599.10)
- **Bedroom Subfloor:** 6.588' - 1" (6588.06)
- **Lowest Natural Ground Elevation:** 6.579' - 7" (6579.58)
Higher Point of Existing Roof
6,613'-1" (6613.11)

Upper Level Finish Floor
6,599'-7" (6599.60)

Bedroom Subfloor
6,588'-1" (6588.06)

Highest Point of Proposed Roof
6,612'-9" (6612.77)

Top of Garage Slab
6,599'-1" (6599.10)

Bedroom Subfloor
6,588'-1" (6588.06)

North Garage Elevation
Scale: 1/8" = 1'-0"

South Garage Elevation
Scale: 1/8" = 1'-0"
# Account Detail

## Washoe County Parcel Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parcel ID</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Last Update</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12314215</td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>2/9/2017 2:10:09 AM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Current Owner:** MEYER-MCSHERRY FAMILY TRUST  
PO BOX 518  
CRYSTAL BAY, NV 89402

**SITUS:** 380 TUSCARORA RD  
INCL NV

**Taxing District:** 5200

**Geo CD:**

**Legal Description:**  
Township 16 SubdivisionName CRYSTAL BAY PARK 1 UNOFFICIAL Range 18 Block 8 Lot 19

## Tax Bill (Click on desired tax year for due dates and further details)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tax Year</th>
<th>Net Tax</th>
<th>Total Paid</th>
<th>Penalty/Fees</th>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Balance Due</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$3,568.80</td>
<td>$3,568.80</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$3,562.93</td>
<td>$3,562.93</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$3,480.42</td>
<td>$3,480.32</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$3,400.30</td>
<td>$3,400.30</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$3,322.52</td>
<td>$3,322.52</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total:** $0.00

## Important Payment Information

- **ALERTS:** If your real property taxes are delinquent, the search results displayed may not reflect the correct amount owing. Please contact our office for the current amount due.

- For your convenience, online payment is available on this site. E-check payments are accepted without a fee. However, a service fee does apply for online credit card payments. See Payment Information for details.

---

The Washoe County Treasurer’s Office makes every effort to produce and publish the most current and accurate information possible. No warranties, expressed or implied, are provided for the data herein, its use, or its interpretation. If you have any questions, please contact us at (775) 328-2510 or tax@washoecounty.us

This site is best viewed using Google Chrome, Internet Explorer 11, Mozilla Firefox or Safari.